	Case 2:10-cv-02233-JCM-GWF Docum	nent 32	Filed 03/09/12	Page 1 of 2	
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
8	DISTRICT OF NEVADA				
9					
10 11	VARTKES BOURMAIAN, #96851	)			
12	Plaintiff,	) 2:	:10-cv-02233-JCN	1-GWF	
13	vs.	) )	RDER		
14	DOUGLAS GILLESPIE, et al.,	)	KDEK		
15	Defendants.	) /			
16		<u>'</u>			
17	Presently before the court is the matter of Bourmaian v. NaphCare Doctor, et. al. (Case No				
18	2:10-cv-02233-JCM-GWF).				
19	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m) provides: "If a defendant is not served within 120 days				
20	after the complaint is filed, the court—on motion or on its own after notice to the plaintiff—must				
21	dismiss the action without prejudice."				
22	Plaintiff filed the complaint on March 17, 2011. (Doc. #17). Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil				
23	Procedure 4(m), on February 1, 2012, the clerk of the court provided notice to plaintiff that the action				
24	would be dismissed as to the two remaining defendants, NaphCare Doctor and NaphCare Nurse, it				
25	plaintiff did not file proof of service of proces	ess by Ma	rch 2, 2012. (Doc.	#31).	
26					

	Case 2:10-cv-02233-JCM-GWF Document 32 Filed 03/09/12 Page 2 of 2
1	The court's docket reflects that, to date, plaintiff has failed to serve the remaining defendants.
2	Further, plaintiff has failed to request an extension of time to determine the true identities of the
3	remaining defendants.
4	Accordingly,
5	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the above-captioned case be,
6	and the same hereby is, DISMISSED without prejudice.
7	DATED March 9, 2012.
8	
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>	
26	
20	